UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,810	09/08/2005	Shigeki Sakai	271672US2PCT	7914	
	7590 11/21/200 AK, MCCLELLAND I	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STREET			STOUFFER, KELLY M		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/21/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/533,	810	SAKAI, SHIGEKI				
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit				
		KELLY:	STOUFFER	1792				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) file	nd on 08 Santambar	r 2005					
2a)□	•	2b)⊠ This action is						
3)□		<i>'</i> —		ers prosecution as to the	a marite ie			
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	ioo anaon Expanto c	kaayie, 1000 C.2					
	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· · _ ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement					
0)[Claim(s) are subject to restin	ction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <mark>∏</mark> accepted or l	b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is requ	uired if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 9/7/07 7/21/05 5/5/05.	PTO-948)	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kojima et al. (US 5622567).

As to claims 1 and 13, Kojima et al. teaches a method of forming a film with laser evaporation as stated in the preamble (abstract), obtaining information on the thickness of a film on a test substrate that collects information over a fixed irradiation time while shining the laser on the substrate with a fixed positional relationship and adjusting the deposition time based on film thickness distribution relationship while moving or rotation the substrate relative to a point of incidence of the laser beam to the target (see Figures, column 1 lines 57- column 2 line 3, column 2 line 63 – column 3 line 3, column 5 lines 13-24, 40-49, 59-67, column 6 lines 1-10, column 9 line 33- column 10 line 8,

column 11 lines 36-51, for example). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to collect experimental data such as film thickness before making the actual product as part of basic manufacturing sense, at least as broadly as the claim is currently written.

As to claim 2, the centerline of the plume does not intersect the substrate in some of the Figures.

As to claims 3 and 14, these steps are again obvious as routine experimental steps one of ordinary skill would take before manufacturing a final product. See the cited sections of Kojima et al. above.

As to claims 4-5, adjustments are made to deposition time according to the claimed factors in the above cited sections.

As to claim 6, rotation is taught by Kojima et al. in column 10 lines 9-19.

As to claims 7-9, various movement during deposition is described in Kojima et al. in column 10 lines 9-19, the above cited sections with regard to claims 1 and 13, and columns 3-4 et seq.

As to claims 10-12, a plurality of substrates or laser beams is taught in Figures 26, 44, 57, and 58, for example.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KELLY STOUFFER whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/533,810 Page 4

Art Unit: 1792

(571)272-2668. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00-

5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kelly Stouffer Examiner

Art Unit 1792

kms

/Timothy H Meeks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792